

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/025,701	12/26/2001	Koji Matsuo	КОЛМ-443	7507
23599	7590 11/07/2006		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201		1731		

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** MATSUO ET AL. 10/025,701 Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief **Examiner Art Unit** 1731 John Hoffmann --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection based on 35 USC 112 (2nd). 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\Bigcup \) will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 5-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

John Hoffmann Primar Examiner

Art Unit: 1731

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued that Fujiwara does not each removal of a specific portion of the ingot. Applicant has not provided any evidence of any criticality for the specific portion removed by the claims. Removing excess material from bulk material to get a desired shape from the bulk material has been performed for centuries (e.g. wood and stone). It not invention to remove outer material. More importantly, Hiraiwa remedies the purported deficiencies of Fujiwara (see rejection).

As to the argument that nothing in Col. 9, lines 40-43 Fujiwara would lead one skilled in the art to feed a fluorine gas to feeding reacting zone in step a). This is largely irrelevant because the rejection is based on other portions of Fujiwara. The portions referred in Fujiwara teaches using "an organic silicon compound such as siloxanes, and a silane,...a silicone chloride..., a silicon fluoride..., o other silicon compound...." More importantly, col. 9, lines 44-45 teaches "the organic silicon compound and silicon fluoride are preferably used."

It is further argued that Kyoto teaches away from using the 1500-1700 C temperature during sintering. As pointed out in the 2/13/2006, the nature of the teaching must be weighed. The rejection weighs the nature. The response has no weighing, nor does it point out an error in the Office's weighing - thus it is deemed that the Office's weighing is proper. To summarize: Kyoto teaches that a higher temperatures "tend" to form bubbles, suggests that bubbles is not a prohibitive problem, and teaches that higher temperatures increases the fluorine incorporation. It is a clear trade-off: one would have been motivated to accept the increased tendency of bubble formation if one wanted higher fluorine doping. Furthermore, APplicant's invention permits bubbles. Also, since the prior art teaches removing material from the bulk/blank - it would have been a simple matter to use only those portions which are bubble free, i.e. make sure it that the removed glass is the portion that has any bubbles.

As to the specific arguments about the specific secondary references lacking specific teachings. The relevance of each is not understood. Applicant does not point out any error in the rejection and it appears that none of the rejections suggest that the secondary references contain the specific teachings argued by applicant..